# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,149	01/05/2001	Miwako Doi	05225.0193 3467		
22852 7:	590 08/03/2004		EXAMINER		
•	HENDERSON, FAR	TIV, BACKHEAN			
LLP 1300 I STREE	T, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2151		
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
•		09/754,14	19	DOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Backhean	Tiv	2151				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
Period fo	- <del>-</del>							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinunication. 0) days, a reply within the stat attutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status	•							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>5/26/04</u> .						
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.	•				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 17 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 5-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	<u>7-24</u> is/are withdrawn						
Applicati	ion Papers				^			
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected to	: a) accepted or b ection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have been documents have been of the priority documents Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	al Stage			
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date 1/01,4/02,7/02,6/04		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		ГО-152)			

Art Unit: 2151

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-24 are pending in this Office Action. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 5-16 on 5/26/04 is acknowledged.

### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure, statements filed 1/5/01,4/29/02,7/29/02,6/3/04, have been considered. However, DE 19638072 was not considered because there was no English translation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5,6, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,629,136 issued to Naidoo in view of WO 01/28273 issued to Lammi.

As per claim 5, Naidoo teaches a service providing system, comprising:

Art Unit: 2151

a service provider configured to provide a service dependent on location information of a mobile terminal to the mobile terminal in response to a service request with the location information sent by the mobile terminal(Fig.4, col.2,lines 60-64);

Naidoo however, does not explicitly teach a communication control unit configured to control communication between the mobile terminal and said service provider, wherein said communication control unit converts a user identifier of the mobile terminal in the service request to a temporary identifier.

Lammi teaches a communication control unit configured to control communication between the mobile terminal and said service provider(page 7, lines 11-36; it is implicit that there is a communication control unit in the terminal device because the terminal device is communicating with the service provider, there must be a communication control unit in order for this to happen), wherein said communication control unit converts a user identifier of the mobile terminal in the service request to a temporary identifier(page 5, lines 3-15; the anonymous identifier is interpreted to be the temporary identifier).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Naidoo to explicitly add a communication control unit configured to control communication between the mobile terminal and said service provider, wherein said communication control unit converts a user identifier of the mobile terminal in the service request to a temporary identifier as taught by Lammi.

Art Unit: 2151

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi in order to protect the user identifier of a service user from the service provider in a mobile communication network(Lammi, page 4, lines 2-4).

As per claim 6, the service providing system according to claim 5, further comprising: a location information provider configured to provide the location information to the mobile terminal in response a location information request sent by the mobile terminal (Naidoo, col.4, lines 31-48). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi for the same reason set forth in claim 5.

As per claim 14, Naidoo teaches a service providing system, comprising: a user non-identification service provider configured to provide a service not requiring a user identification to the mobile terminal(col.3, lines 59-col. 4, lines 10).

Naidoo however does not explicitly teach a user identification service provider configured to provide a service requiring a user identification to a mobile terminal of the user;

communication control unit configured to control communication among the mobile terminal said user identification service provider, and said user non-identification service provider,

wherein said communication control unit converts a user identifier of the mobile terminal in a service request information sent by the mobile terminal to a temporary identifier.

Art Unit: 2151

Lammi teaches a user identification service provider configured provide a service requiring a user identification to a mobile terminal of the user(page 4, lines 23-34);

a communication control unit configured to control communication among the mobile terminal said user identification service provider, and said user non-identification service provider(page 7, lines 11-36; it is implicit that there is a communication control unit in the terminal device because the terminal device is communicating with the service provider, there must be a communication control unit in order for this to happen),

wherein said communication control unit converts a user identifier of the mobile terminal in a service request information sent by the mobile terminal to a temporary identifier(page 5, lines 3-15).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Naidoo to explicitly add a user identification service provider configured to provide a service requiring a user identification to a mobile terminal of the user; a communication control unit configured to control communication among the mobile terminal said user identification service provider, and said user non-identification service provider, wherein said communication control unit converts a user identifier of the mobile terminal in a service request information sent by the mobile terminal to a temporary identifier as taught by Lammi.

Art Unit: 2151

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi in order to protect the user identifier of a service user from the service provider in a mobile communication network(Lammi, page 4, lines 2-4).

As per claim 15, the service providing system according to claim 14, communication control unit sends a user identification service request including the temporary identifier to said user identification service provider in response to a user identification service request sent by the mobile terminal(Lammi, page 5, lines 3-15). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi for the same reason set forth in claim 14.

As per claim 16, the service providing system according to claim 15, wherein said communication control unit sends a user non-identification service request without the temporary identifier to said user non-identification service provider in response to a user non- identification service request sent by the mobile terminal(col.3, lines 59-col. 4, lines 10). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi for the same reason set forth in claim 14.

Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,629,136 issued to Naidoo in view of WO 01/28273 issued to Lammi in further view of US Patent 6,330,598 issued to Beckwith et al.(Beckwith).

Art Unit: 2151

Naidoo in view of Lammi teaches all the limitations of claim 5, and further teaches as per claim 7, the service providing system according to claim 5, wherein said communication control unit stores communication control information consisting of a service request identifier(Lammi, page 4, lines 23-25), the location information(Naidoo, col.4, lines 46-48), the temporary identifier(Lammi, page 5, lines 3-7) and a parameter in response to the service request(Naidoo, col.8, lines 26-40) and the location information sent by the mobile terminal(Naidoo, col.4, lines 46-48).

Naidoo in view of Lammi however does not teach a service provider name.

Beckwith teaches a service provider name(col.10,lines 28-30).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Naidoo in view of Lammi to explicitly add a service provider name as taught by Beckwith.

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi and Beckwith in order to manage a subscription package of service(Beckwith, col.10, lines 44-46).

As per claim 8, the service providing system according to claim 7, wherein said communication control unit sends service request information consisting of the service request identifier, the service provider name, the location identifier, and the parameter to said service provider(Naidoo, col.4, lines 31-47; Naidoo teaches sending information from the communication device to the content provider). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo

Art Unit: 2151

and Lammi and Beckwith in order send information between the communication device and the service provider.

As per claim 9, the service providing system according to claim 8, wherein said service provider stores service request information consisting of the service request identifier(Naidoo, col.8, lines 25-41), a wireless gateway identifier of said communication control unit(Lammi, page 7, lines 24-26), the location information and the parameter in response to the service request information sent by said communication control unit(Naidoo, col.8, lines 25-41). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi and Beckwith in order store information of the requested information.

As per claim 10, the service providing system according to claim 9, wherein said service provider creates service information by referring to the parameter, and sends service response information consisting of the service request identifier, the location information and the service information to said communication control unit(Naidoo,col.4, lines 31-48). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi and Beckwith for the same reason set forth in claim 8.

As per claim 11, the service providing system according to claim 10, wherein said communication control unit reconverts the temporary identifier corresponding to the service response identifier in the service response information to the user identifier in response to the service response information sent by said service provider, and sends the service information to the mobile terminal of the user identifier(Lammi, page 9, lines 5-12). One skilled in the art

Art Unit: 2151

would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi and Beckwith for the same reason set forth in claim 8.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,629,136 issued to Naidoo in view of WO 01/28273 issued to Lammi in further view of US Patent 6,636,489 issued to Fingerhut.

Naidoo in view of Lammi teaches all the limitations of claim 5, however does not teach as per claim 12, the service providing system according to claim 5, further comprising: a location independent service provider configured to provide a service independent of the location of the mobile terminal to the mobile terminal in response to service request information sent by the mobile terminal through said communication control unit.

Fingerhut teaches a location independent service provider configured to provide a service independent of the location of the mobile terminal to the mobile terminal in response to service request information sent by the mobile terminal through said communication control unit(col.1, lines 41-64).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Naidoo in view of Lammi to explicitly add a a location independent service provider configured to provide a service independent of the location of the mobile terminal to the mobile terminal in response to service request information sent by the mobile terminal through said communication control unit as taught by Fingerhut.

Art Unit: 2151

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi and Fingerhut in order provide a wireless subscription management system(Fingerhut, col.1, lines 39-41).

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,629,136 issued to Naidoo in view of WO 01/28273 issued to Lammi in further view of US Patent 6,636,489 issued to Fingerhut in further view of US Patent 6,330,598 issued to Beckwith et al.(Beckwith).

Naidoo in view of Lammi in further view of Fingerhut teaches all the limitations of claim 12, and further teaches as per claim 13, the service providing system according to claim 12, wherein said communication control unit stores communication control information consisting of the service request identifier(Lammi, page 4, lines 23-25), the temporary identifier(Lammi, page 5, lines 3-7) and the parameter in response to the service request information irrelevant of the location sent by the mobile terminal(Naidoo, col.8, lines 26-40), and sends the service request information which is the same as the communication control information to said location independent service provider(Fingerhut, col.1, lines 41-52).

Naidoo in view of Lammi in further view of Fingerhut does not teach, however, the service provider name.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Naidoo in view of Lammi in

Art Unit: 2151

further view of Fingerhut to explicitly add a service provider name as taught by Beckwith.

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine Naidoo and Lammi and Fingerhut and Beckwith in order to manage a subscription package of service(Beckwith, col.10, lines 44-46).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 6,571,279 issued to Herz et al. "Location Enhanced Information Delivery System"

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Backhean Tiv whose telephone number is (703) 305-8879. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 A.M.-12 P.M. and 1 -6 P.M. Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (703) 308-6687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BT

Backhean Tiv 2151 7/21/04

PRIMARY EXAMINER